# High-redshift AGNs & galaxies behind the lensing cluster Abell 2744 with Chandra

Orsolya Kovács, Masaryk University



# **Abell 2744**

- gravitational lens
- Observed with JWST (Early Release Science program)
  - high galaxy density at z>9
  - discovery of a new population of galaxies: "little red dots" at z=3-9
- follow-up Chandra observations
  - >2 Ms (~3 weeks) data
  - X-rays are a ubiquitous signature of BH accretion



#### A z $\approx 10$ AGN in UHZ1

Akos Bogdán, Andy D. Goulding, Priyamvada Natarajan, Orsolya E. Kovács, Grant R. Tremblay, Urmila Chadayammuri, Marta Volonteri, Ralph P. Kraft, William R. Forman, Christine Jones, Eugene Churazov, and Irina Zhuravleva

**Evidence for heavy seed origin of early supermassive black holes from a z ~ 10 X-ray quasar** 

2024, Nature Astronomy, 8, 126

#### A z $\approx 10$ AGN in GHZ9 П.

Orsolya E. Kovács, Ákos Bogdán, Priyamvada Natarajan, Norbert Werner, Mojegan Azadi, Marta Volonteri, Grant R. Tremblay, Urmila Chadayammuri, William R. Forman, Christine Jones, and Ralph P. Kraft

2024, ApJL, 965L, 21K

#### "Little red dots" at $z \approx 3 - 8.5$ 111.

Tonima Tasnim Ananna, Ákos Bogdán, Orsolya E. Kovács, Priyamvada Natarajan, Ryan C. Hickox:

2024, Submitted to ApJL

High-redshift AGNs and galaxies behind Abell 2744

A Candidate Supermassive Black Hole in a Gravitationally Lensed Galaxy at  $z \approx 10$ 

X-ray View of Little Red Dots: Do They Host Supermassive Black Holes?



# $z \approx 10 \text{ AGNs}$ behind Abell2744



# **SMBH formation scenarios**

#### deep optical surveys:

more than 200 bright,  $M_{\rm BH} \lesssim 10^9 \, {\rm M_{\odot}}$ quasars at z > 6

accretion-powered black holes at the center of galaxies (BHs) already exist ~1 billion years after the Big Bang



High-redshift AGNs – GHZ9 and UHZ1



# **Observations**



## **JWST UNCOVER survey**

(Atek et al. 2023, Castellano et al. 2023)

19 z>9 lensed galaxies behind A2744

- photometric redshift  $\bullet$
- physical properties from SED fitting (stellar mass, SFR)



High-redshift AGNs – GHZ9 and UHZ1

#### X-ray follow-up with Chandra

2 out of the 19 lensed galaxies show statistically significant X-ray emission

UHZ1

- $z_{\rm spec} = 10.07$ spectroscopically confirmed
- hard band detection (2-7 keV)

## GHZ9

- $z_{\rm phot} \approx 10.4$
- soft band detection

(0.5-3 keV)





. .



1

#### High-redshift AGNs – GHZ9 and UHZ1



JWST NIRCam UHZ1 images



JWST / Chandra overlays of UHZ1



4

. .

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

3

#### High-redshift AGNs – GHZ9 and UHZ1

![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### JWST NIRCam UHZ1 images

![](_page_7_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### JWST / Chandra overlays of UHZ1

![](_page_7_Picture_10.jpeg)

4

. .

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

4

3

#### High-redshift AGNs – GHZ9 and UHZ1

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### JWST NIRCam UHZ1 images

![](_page_8_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### JWST / Chandra overlays of UHZ1

![](_page_8_Picture_9.jpeg)

. .

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

1

3

4

### High-redshift AGNs – GHZ9 and UHZ1

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

JWST NIRCam UHZ1 images

![](_page_9_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### JWST / Chandra overlays of UHZ1

![](_page_9_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

.

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

High-redshift AGNs – GHZ9 and UHZ1

## UHZ1

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Low-z galaxy neighbour of GHZ9

- low-mass, low-z galaxy
- 0.6" projected distance from GHZ9
- at large offset on the Chandra image, broad PSF

Could it be associated with the X-ray source?

#### High-redshift AGNs – GHZ9 and UHZ1

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Luminosity and BH mass of the candidate X-ray AGNs

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### High-redshift AGNs – GHZ9 and UHZ1

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

Comparable to the  $M_{\star}$ of the host galaxy

# **BH growth tracks**

- assembly history of light and heavy seeds
- by  $z \approx 10$  (i.e.  $450 \,\text{Myr}$  after the big bang) with  $f_{\text{Edd}} = 1$ 
  - light seeds reach masses of  $10^{4-5}\,M_{\odot}$
  - heavy seeds reach masses of GHZ9 and UHZ1

Their extreme mass at such an early cosmic epoch suggests the heavy seed origin for GHZ9 and UHZ1.

#### High-redshift AGNs – GHZ9 and UHZ1

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

# **AGN luminosity functions**

- predicted luminosity functions vs. observed number density
- at z = 8 theoretical curves are nearly identical

over-abundant  $z \approx 10$  SMBH population

- higher-than-expected seed formation efficiency
- heavy seed formation in multiple channels

 $dN/d\log [h^3 M_{20}^{-3}]$ 

 $10^{-7}$ 

 $10^{-1}$ 

![](_page_14_Figure_8.jpeg)

# "Little red dots" behind Abell2744

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

- newly discovered population of highredshift galaxies
- 3 < *z* < 9
- NIR characteristics:
  - compactness
  - red color

## Where does LRDs' NIR emission come from?

- follow-up observations with JWST
  - JWST NIRSpec
    - LRDs show broad  $H\alpha$  emission: SMBHs
    - $M_{\rm BH}$   $M_{\star}$  ratio of LRDs is higher than the local ratio
  - JWST MIRI images
    - LRDs' energy output is dominated by the emission from OB stars

![](_page_16_Picture_13.jpeg)

# LRDs with Chandra

massive accreting BHs can easily be detected in X-rays

X-ray emitting processes associated with star formation are a few orders of magnitude fainter

## **Do LRDs host SMBHs?**

None of the individual LRDs show statistically significant X-ray emission

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_7.jpeg)

A sample of 21 LRDs behind A2744 in the  $2 - 7 \, keV$  band

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### stack of 21 AGN candidates $\rightarrow$ non-detection

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

- LRDs may host SMBHs, whose masses are consistent with the scaling relations established for local and moderate redshift AGN.
- X-ray observations suggest that the population of LRDs do not host over-massive SMBHs.

Or they accrete at a small fraction of their Eddington limit.

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **Inconsistency between JWST and Chandra**

SMBH mass of the 9 AGN candidates with broad-line  $H\alpha$  emission

- from NIRSpec data (median):  $10^8 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$
- from the  $2.6\sigma$  stacked Xray signal:  $3.2 \times 10^6 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$

systematic uncertainties in the mass measurements from the NIRSpec data?

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)